1. Introduction

Fish ball are a common food in Indonesia which is a restructured product made from meat and filler (flour and other ingredients) (Purnomo, 1997). There are varieties of fish ball in the market based on their protein content which called “super” for higher protein and “ordinary” for lower protein content. Nowadays, in line with the growth of snack industries, fish ball is not only served as boiled product but also as fried product in thin sliced form. This sliced fried fish ball (then called basreng) is becoming popular in Indonesia. Hence, some of fish ball industries change their product from boiled into fried product. Some of them have successfully produced good products. Most of the successfully developed basreng were found in West Java, especially in Bandung. Before, Basreng was commonly known as a gift from someone who traveling from West Java, but currently, industries have spread out due to high market demand. As the result, basreng small scale industries are extensively growing not only in West Java but also in other areas such as Jakarta.

Technology of fish ball-making is generally well known being applied worldwide using flour as a binder and filler. Tapioca flour is commonly used as filler in making fish ball since it is known as a source of amylopectin. As binder and filler, tapioca has the ability (Usmiati, 2009) to help gelatinization during fish ball processing, by producing viscous and firm product (Astawan & Astawan, 1989; Sunarlim, 1994). It was reported that tapioca gave a significant effect on protein content and physical properties as well as binder activity of other ingredients (Astawan & Astawan, 1989). Other type of flour, such as potato flour, is also generally used as filler in extruded product (Iwe et al., 1998) and other similar product including snacks.

Basreng is formulated in the same way as the original fish ball except for the frying process conducted over the boiling step. Ingredients used for basreng are similar with ingredients for fish ball but include some modifications to produce dense and crispy product. Flour, amount of fish flesh and frying time are allegedly influencing the quality of basreng. Basreng is applying vacuum frying method which is usually used for frying high-moisture product such as fruit snack and fish cracker. However, since the variables were multifarious, it is not easy to decide...
which factors and what level of concentrations can be used in the fixed formulation. Therefore screening the important ingredients is urgently needed in such experiment.

Screening of ingredients, one at a time at arbitrary levels may take longer time as well as result in costly resources. Factorial designs provide solution to tackle the large number of sources category at a time. However, this method also requires a considerably large number of experiments (Davies, 1967). For example, in an experiment where 10 variables are needed to be evaluated, each of the variables would need a total of 1024 runs in the experiment. Because each run might be time-consuming and requires costly setting and resetting of machinery, it is often not feasible to conduct many different production runs for the experiment.

Plackett–Burman designs (Plackett & Burman, 1946; Akhnazarova & Kafarov, 1982) are providing particular help to address the problem. This method statistically can reduce the number of experiments tremendously thus saving time, glassware, chemicals and man-power (Srinivas et al., 1994; Carvalho et al., 1997). This means that the number of considered variables, are much less than the conventional method; for example, from 10 variables can be screened through 28 experiments only. This method does not determine the exact quantity, but it can provide some important information about each factor in relatively few experiments (Plackett & Burman, 1946; DeMeo et al., 1985; Roseiro et al., 1992; Yu et al., 1997; Kalil et al., 2000; Long-Shan et al., 2003). It allows reliable short-listing of a few variables for further optimization.

Plackett-Burman design has been conducted to screen possible significant variables in many products (Srinivas et al., 1994; Guha et al., 2003; Bie et al., 2005; Chauhan et al., 2007; Modi & Prakash, 2008). It is a useful tool for screening and searching the significant variable rapidly from a multivariable system. However, Plackett-Burman designs have not yet been applied to screen variables for basreng. Therefore, this study aimed to screen variables considered important in the production of basreng using Plackett Burman design. The experiments were run using two values, (high and low value) which described the range of variable values to produce the products.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Material

Tapioca and potato flour were used in the experiment. The tapioca flour was produced by PT. Sungai Budi Lampung, while potato flour was collected from local market in bulky packaging. Catfish (Clarias sp.) were also used in the experiment, which were collected from local farmer in Parung, Bogor. The fish were killed, gutted, and washed before being used in the experiment. The fish were then deboned and minced using meat mincer fitted with 2.5 mm hole size, 70mm diameter hole plate.

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Preparation of products

Minced fish were mixed with salt for 5 min using Bibun Japan dough mixer then mixed with other ingredients for another 10 min until it became dough. The dough was rolled into 10 cm cylinder mold then steamed for 30 min. The dough was then allowed to cool for 24 h at room temperature and then cut into 1 mm thin slice using manual cracker cutter. The slices were directly fried for 45 min and 60 min at temperature of 85°C and pressure of 70 cmHg using vacuum frying machine. Basreng slices were allowed to cool then packed into plastic bag before being analyzed for its sensory and texture parameters.

2.2.2. Design of experiment

The amount of fish, tapioca flour, potato flour and frying time were selected as the possible variables which allegedly give significant influence on the final product (frying fish ball). These four variables tested are given in Table 1 along with their actual levels. Plackett–Burman design (Plackett & Burman, 1946) was applied in the experiment, comprised four factors spanning over 12 runs with each factor fixed at two levels (low level and high level). The low level of fish was 200 g while the high level was 500 g. Tapioca flour used in this experiment was weighed 600 and 200 g for high and low level, while for potato flour were 800 and 200 g. Frying time was set into 45 min at 80°C for low level and 60 min for high level. Other ingredients and process were set fixed since allegedly are not giving significant influence.

2.2.3. Parameter analysis

Basreng was analyzed for its hardness using texture analyzer (TA.XT2, Texture Technologies Corp., Scarsdale NY/ Stable Micro System, Godalming, Surrey, England). This instrument also equipped with Texture Expert Software (v.120 Stable Micro System). 50-kg capacity texture analyzer was used, equipped with a 25-mm aluminum probe to measure the texture profile of basreng.
2.2.4. Sensory analysis

Hedonic test

The basrengs were evaluated by hedonic test method using 1–7 scale score sheet following SNI 2346 (BSN, 2011). Low score means the product is less acceptable while high score means most acceptable. The attributes of appearance, color, odor, taste, texture, overall acceptance and those related to characteristics of variables were included in the score sheet. The samples were presented in random order and coded in five digits in small plates. The data for each attribute over the entire experimental basreng were subjected to regression analysis.

Ranking test for the variables performance

The variables were ranked for their effect on the individual attributes from best to worst (Mody & Prakash, 2008). Best means that the products are having smallest possible negative effect on attribute while worst reflected much negative effect on the attribute. The direction of change (negative or positive) was with respect to what is expected from the final products. For example, for attribute of appearance, the higher scores were desirable so the ingredients with larger positive regression coefficients were much better and were ranked accordingly. These rankings were used to select the variables.

3. Results and Discussion

The mean scores of seven attributes of basreng are given in Table 2. Six attributes were analyzed through sensory panel test and one (hardness) attribute used textural device. It is apparent from Table 2 that the design formulations caused a large variation to the attributes scores. The mean score of appearance ranged from 2.58 to 5.33; color ranged from 2.58 to 5.58; odor ranged from 3.67 to 4.58; taste ranged from 3.67 to 5.33; texture ranged from 1.75 to 3.83; overall acceptance ranged from 2.09 to 4.45 and for hardness ranged from 3052.54 to 6754.96 g. Table 2 also revealed that formula number one had the lowest average score for all of sensory attributes; while formula number five had the highest average score.

The changing of attributes values had a different expectation. Sensory attributes are expected to increase but the hardness is expected to decrease (Table 3). The increasing of sensory value was preferred by panelist which means better products. Thus, the observed variables should not appreciably give the effect of lowering the sensory attributes. In contrary, the observed variables were expected to decrease the hardness of the product. The result indicated that the hardness was not in accordance with the sensory values. The different method of analysis between sensory test and instrumental test seemed to be the cause of differences. The sensory test was involving panelists who were often associated with subjectivity. Therefore, training is important for panelists to analyze specific products to get an accurate data.

3.1. Regression Analysis

The results of coefficient regression of basreng can be seen in Table 4. The results showed that variables used in the experiment gave both positive and negative effects to the product. Positive effect means that the use of variable will increase the value of attribute, while negative effect means that the variable will decrease the value of attribute. The use of fish flesh in this

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Fish</th>
<th>Tapioca flour</th>
<th>Potato flour</th>
<th>Frying time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: -1: Low value code; 1: High value code.
experiment generally decreased the acceptance value of sensory attributes. The value of appearance, color, odor, taste, texture and overall acceptance decreased when the amount of fish flesh used increased. The amount of fish flesh used gave big negative effect to color, odor and appearance with coefficient value of -0.39, -0.39 and -0.30, respectively. These negative coefficient values were allegedly due to the dark color of the product resulted in this experiment as a result of Maillard reaction (Winarno, 1997), while most of the consumers prefer to a product with bright color. However, fish flesh gave less negative effect to the taste, texture and overall acceptance. They produced coefficient value close to zero which means that the variables only gave a slightly effects to product.

Furthermore, potato flour also decreased the acceptance value of odor and color. Other attributes such as appearance, taste, texture and overall acceptance increased when the amount of potato flour used also increased. The rest of variables, namely tapioca flour and frying time tended to have an opposite effect to fish flesh variable. Tapioca flour and frying time increased the acceptance value of panelists. Tapioca flour gave higher positive effect to
In terms of hardness, almost all variables produced positive effect increasing the hardness of the product. The biggest effect was produced by variable of potato flour with coefficient value of 846, followed by frying time, tapioca flour and fish with coefficient value of 680, 419 and 243, respectively. It was allegedly caused by the properties of variables where increasing the use of fish will increase the hardness due to their linear expansion. According to Kyaw et al. (2001), linear expansion would decrease as the amount of fish flesh increased. Yu (1993) also found that potato flour decreased linear expansion of fish crackers which meant increasing the hardness.

The decrease of acceptance value for attribute appearance, color, and odor with addition of fish in this product could be due to the dark color as a result of Maillard reaction. Maillard reaction occurs between carbohydrate and primary amino acid compound producing dark brown products. King (2002) found that panelist preferred bright color product which was produced from less fish flesh. Heating treatment accelerated depolymerization of carbohydrate and protein which will be stimulating Maillard reaction (Winarno, 1997).

Other variables showed that the acceptance value of panelist increased in most attributes. Tapioca flour was considered to produce bright color so that the value of appearance, color and overall acceptance increased. Higher ratio of tapioca flour to the fish used would produce brighter products. In contrary, higher amount of fish flesh used will sharply increase the process of Maillard reactions, producing dark color (Susanto & Saneto, 1994; Lavlinesia, 1995; King, 2002). It means that sufficient amount of fish should be applied to avoid the excessive Maillard reaction occurred.

In addition, the higher content of amylose and amylopectin in tapioca flour proposed the linear expansion producing a stretchable granule which made a brighter product (Yu, 1991; Huda et al., 2009). The linear expansion in fried cracker has been found to decrease as fish content increased (Yu et al., 1994; Paranginangin et al., 1997; Cheow et al., 1999; Huda et al., 2001; Kyaw et al., 2001).

Meanwhile, potato flour gave less effect to most variables. It can be seen from coefficient value (close to 0) for appearance, color, odor, taste, texture and overall acceptance. This was allegedly caused by the color of potato flour itself. Noorakmar et al. (2012) found that the increase of potato flour decreased the whiteness of fried extruder fish crackers and lowering the acceptance rate of panelists. In opposite, frying time stimulated the Mailards reaction which was significantly affecting the appearance, odor and color. In this case, panelists were likely to prefer basreng with longer frying time.

3.2. Variable Ranking

The ranking of the variables for the seven attributes is given in Table 5. The higher rank stated in Table 5 indicates that the variable produced the most desirable properties while lower rank produced the less desirable properties. In summary, tapioca flour was the variable that produced the highest desirable properties followed by frying time, potato flour and fish. It was surprisingly that fish variable was only ranked at fourth which indicates that fish gave less effect to the final product. Therefore, it can be considered that for advanced stages, namely commercialization stage, less use of fish flesh is recommended because it will increase the company profit due to price margin reason.

The ranking of the ingredients and process for each attribute are based on the regression coefficient of
the ingredient for the respective attributes. For further experiment, the lower rank variables (fish and potato flour), it will be put as its fixed value, however for the higher rank variables (tapioca flour and frying time), it will be selected for next factorial design experiment.

From this research, it was revealed that Plackett Burman method needs less experiment with only 14 runs compared to factorial or conventional method which need at least 48 runs with replications and will increase more for additional level of variables. This method had also successfully revealed the significance of variables in shorter time which means that it is requiring less cost and time.

4. Conclusion

From four variables (fish, tapioca flour, potato flour and frying time) considered in this experiment, two of them have been selected as significant variables (tapioca flour and frying time). Those two variables were proposed to be used for next factorial design experiment for further optimization study. Using the Plackett-Burman design, the four variables can be successfully screened in to few number of experiments which needs less cost and time.
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